

Maximal monotonicity, conjugation and the duality product in non-reflexive Banach spaces

M. Marques Alves^{*†} B. F. Svaiter^{‡ §}

Abstract

Maximal monotone operators on a Banach space into its dual can be represented by convex functions bounded below by the duality product. It is natural to ask under which conditions a convex function represents a maximal monotone operator. A satisfactory answer, in the context of reflexive Banach spaces, has been obtained some years ago. Recently, a partial result on non-reflexive Banach spaces was obtained. In this work we study some others conditions which guarantee that a convex function represents a maximal monotone operator in non-reflexive Banach spaces.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H05, 49J52, 47N10.

Key words: Fitzpatrick function, maximal monotone operator, non-reflexive Banach spaces.

1 Introduction

Let X be a real Banach space and X^* its topological dual, both with norms denoted by $\|\cdot\|$. The duality product in $X \times X^*$ will be denoted by:

$$\pi : X \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \pi(x, x^*) := \langle x, x^* \rangle = x^*(x). \quad (1)$$

A point to set operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is a relation on $X \times X^*$:

$$T \subset X \times X^*$$

^{*}IMPA, Estrada Dona Castorina 110, 22460-320 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
(maicon@impa.br)

[†]Partially supported by Brazilian CNPq scholarship 140525/2005-0.

[‡]IMPA, Estrada Dona Castorina 110, 22460-320 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
(benar@impa.br)

[§]Partially supported by CNPq grants 300755/2005-8, 475647/2006-8 and by PRONEX-Optimization

and $T(x) = \{x^* \in X^* \mid (x, x^*) \in T\}$. An operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is *monotone* if

$$\langle x - y, x^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0, \forall (x, x^*), (y, y^*) \in T$$

and it is *maximal monotone* if it is monotone and maximal (with respect to the inclusion) in the family of monotone operators of X into X^* .

Fitzpatrick proved constructively that maximal monotone operators are representable by convex functions. Before discussing his findings, let us establish some notation. We denote the set of extended-real valued functions on X by $\bar{\mathbb{R}}^X$. The *epigraph* of $f \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^X$ is defined by

$$E(f) := \{(x, \mu) \in X \times \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \leq \mu\}.$$

We say that $f \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^X$ is lower semicontinuous (l.s.c. from now on) if $E(f)$ is closed in the strong topology of $X \times \mathbb{R}$.

Let $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximal monotone. The *Fitzpatrick function* of T is [4]

$$\varphi_T \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{X \times X^*}, \quad \varphi_T(x, x^*) := \sup_{(y, y^*) \in T} \langle x - y, y^* - x^* \rangle + \langle x, x^* \rangle \quad (2)$$

and *Fitzpatrick family* associated with T is

$$\mathcal{F}_T := \left\{ h \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{X \times X^*} \left| \begin{array}{l} h \text{ is convex and l.s.c.} \\ h(x, x^*) \geq \langle x, x^* \rangle, \quad \forall (x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \\ (x, x^*) \in T \Rightarrow h(x, x^*) = \langle x, x^* \rangle \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

In the next theorem we summarize the Fitzpatrick's results:

Theorem 1.1 ([4, Theorem 3.10]). *Let X be a real Banach space and $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximal monotone. Then for any $h \in \mathcal{F}_T$*

$$(x, x^*) \in T \iff h(x, x^*) = \langle x, x^* \rangle$$

and φ_T is the smallest element of the family $\mathcal{F}_T$.

Fitzpatrick's results described above were rediscovered by Martínez-Legaz and Théra [9], and Burachik and Svaiter [2].

It seems interesting to study conditions under which a convex function $h \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^X$ represents a maximal monotone operator, that is, $h \in \mathcal{F}_T$ for some maximal monotone operator T . Our aim is to extend previous results on this direction. We will need some auxiliary results and additional notation for this aim.

The Fenchel-Legendre conjugate of $f \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^X$ is

$$f^* \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{X^*}, \quad f^*(x^*) := \sup_{x \in X} \langle x, x^* \rangle - f(x).$$

Whenever necessary, we will identify X with its image under the canonical injection of X into X^{**} . Burachik and Svaiter proved that the family $\mathcal{F}_T$ is invariant under the mapping

$$\mathcal{J} : \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{X \times X^*} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{X \times X^*}, \quad \mathcal{J} h(x, x^*) := h^*(x^*, x). \quad (3)$$

This means that if $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is maximal monotone, then [2]

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F}_T) \subset \mathcal{F}_T. \quad (4)$$

In particular, for any $h \in \mathcal{F}_T$ it holds that $h \geq \pi$, $\mathcal{J}h \geq \pi$, that is,

$$h(x, x^*) \geq \langle x, x^* \rangle, \quad h^*(x^*, x) \geq \langle x, x^* \rangle, \quad \forall (x, x^*) \in X \times X^*.$$

So, the above conditions are *necessary* for a convex function h on $X \times X^*$ to represent a maximal monotone operator. Burachik and Svaiter proved that these conditions are also *sufficient*, in a reflexive Banach space, for h to represent a maximal monotone operator:

Theorem 1.2 ([3, Theorem 3.1]). *Let $h \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{X \times X^*}$ be proper, convex, l.s.c. and*

$$h(x, x^*) \geq \langle x, x^* \rangle, \quad h^*(x^*, x) \geq \langle x, x^* \rangle, \quad \forall (x, x^*) \in X \times X^*. \quad (5)$$

If X is reflexive, then

$$T := \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid h(x, x^*) = \langle x, x^* \rangle\}$$

is maximal monotone and $h, \mathcal{J}h \in \mathcal{F}_T$.

Marques Alves and Svaiter generalized Theorem 1.2 to non-reflexive Banach spaces as follows:

Theorem 1.3 ([5, Corollary 4.4]). *If $h \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{X \times X^*}$ is convex and*

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, x^*) &\geq \langle x, x^* \rangle, & \forall (x, x^*) \in X \times X^*, \\ h^*(x^*, x^{**}) &\geq \langle x^*, x^{**} \rangle, & \forall (x^*, x^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

then

$$T := \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid h^*(x^*, x) = \langle x, x^* \rangle\}$$

is maximal monotone and $\mathcal{J}h \in \mathcal{F}_T$. Moreover, if h is l.s.c. then $h \in \mathcal{F}_T$.

Condition (6) of Theorem 1.3 enforces the operator T to be of type (NI) [6] and is not necessary for maximal monotonicity of T in a non-reflexive Banach space. Note that while the weaker condition (5) of Theorem 1.2 is still *necessary* in non-reflexive Banach spaces for the inclusion $h \in \mathcal{F}_T$, where T is a maximal monotone operator. The main result of this paper is another generalization of Theorem 1.2 to non-reflexive Banach spaces which uses condition (5) instead of (6). For obtaining this generalization, we added a regularity assumption on the domain of h .

If $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is maximal monotone, it is easy to prove that φ_T is minimal in the family of all convex functions in $X \times X^*$ which majorizes the duality product. So, it is natural to ask whether the converse also holds, that is:

Is any minimal element of this family (convex functions which majorizes the duality product) a Fitzpatrick function of some maximal monotone operator?

To give a partial answer to this question, Martínez-Legaz and Svaiter proved the following results, which we will use latter on:

Theorem 1.4 ([8, Theorem 5]). *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the family of convex functions in $X \times X^*$ which majorizes the duality product:*

$$\mathcal{H} := \{h \in \mathbb{R}^{X \times X^*} \mid h \text{ is proper, convex and } h \geq \pi\}. \quad (7)$$

The following statements holds true:

1. *The family $\mathcal{H}$ is (donward) inductively ordered;*
2. *For any $h \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists a minimal $h_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $h \geq h_0$;*
3. *Any minimal element g of $\mathcal{H}$ is l.s.c. and satisfies $\mathcal{J}g \geq g$.*

Note that item 2 is a direct consequence of item 1. Combining item 3 with Theorem 1.2, Martínez-Legaz and Svaiter concluded that *in a reflexive* Banach space, any minimal element of $\mathcal{H}$ is the Fitzpatrick function of some maximal monotone operator [8, Theorem 5]. We will also present a partial extension of this result for non-reflexive Banach spaces.

2 Basic results and notation

The weak-star topology of X^* will be denoted by ω^* and by s we denote the strong topology of X . A function $h \in \mathbb{R}^{X \times X^*}$ is lower semicontinuous

in the strong $\times$ weak-star topology if $E(h)$ is a closed subset of $X \times X^* \times \mathbb{R}$ in the $s \times \omega^* \times |\cdot|$ topology.

The *indicator function* of $V \subset X$ is δ_V , $\delta_V(x) := 0$, $x \in V$ and $\delta_V(x) := \infty$, otherwise. The closed convex closure of $f \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^X$ is defined by

$$\text{cl conv } f \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^X, \quad \text{cl conv } f(x) := \inf\{\mu \in \mathbb{R} \mid (x, \mu) \in \text{cl conv } E(f)\}$$

where for $U \subset X$, $\text{cl conv } U$ is the closed convex hull (in the s topology) of U . The *effective domain* of a function $f \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^X$ is

$$D(f) := \{x \in X \mid f(x) < \infty\},$$

and f is *proper* if $D(f) \neq \emptyset$. If f is proper, convex and l.s.c., then f^* is proper. For $h \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{X \times X^*}$, we also define

$$\text{Pr}_X D(h) := \{x \in X \mid \exists x^* \in X^* \mid (x, x^*) \in D(h)\}.$$

Let $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximal monotone. In [2] Burachik and Svaiter defined and studied the biggest element of $\mathcal{F}_T$, namely, the $\mathcal{S}$ -function, $\mathcal{S}_T \in \mathcal{F}_T$ defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_T \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{X \times X^*}, \quad \mathcal{S}_T := \sup_{h \in \mathcal{F}_T} \{h\},$$

or, equivalently

$$\mathcal{S}_T = \text{cl conv}(\pi + \delta_T).$$

Recall that $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F}_T) \subset \mathcal{F}_T$. Additionally [2]

$$\mathcal{J} \mathcal{S}_T = \varphi_T \tag{8}$$

and, in a reflexive Banach space, $\mathcal{J} \varphi_T = \mathcal{S}_T$.

In what follows we present the Attouch-Brezis's version of the Fenchel-Rockafellar duality theorem:

Theorem 2.1 ([1, Theorem 1.1]). *Let Z be a Banach space and $\varphi, \psi \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^Z$ be proper, convex and l.s.c. functions. If*

$$\bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda [D(\varphi) - D(\psi)], \tag{9}$$

is a closed subspace of Z , then

$$\inf_{z \in Z} \varphi(z) + \psi(z) = \max_{z^* \in Z^*} -\varphi^*(z^*) - \psi^*(-z^*). \tag{10}$$

Given X, Y Banach spaces, $\mathcal{L}(Y, X)$ denotes the set of continuous linear operators of Y into X . The range of $A \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$ is denoted by $R(A)$ and the adjoint by $A^* \in \mathcal{L}(X^*, Y^*)$:

$$\langle Ay, x^* \rangle = \langle y, A^* x^* \rangle \quad \forall y \in Y, x^* \in X^*,$$

where X^*, Y^* are the dual of X and Y , respectively. The next proposition is a particular case of Theorem 3 of [10]. For the sake of completeness, we give the proof in the Appendix A.

Proposition 2.2. *Let X, Y Banach spaces and $A \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$. For $h \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{X \times X^*}$, proper convex and l.s.c., define $f \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{Y \times Y^*}$*

$$f(y, y^*) := \inf_{x^* \in X^*} h(Ay, x^*) + \delta_{\{0\}}(y^* - A^* x^*).$$

If

$$\bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda [\text{Pr}_X D(h) - R(A)], \quad (11)$$

is a closed subspace of X , then

$$f^*(z^*, z) = \min_{u^* \in X^*} h^*(u^*, Az) + \delta_{\{0\}}(z^* - A^* u^*).$$

Martínez-Legaz and Svaiter [7] defined, for $h \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{X \times X^*}$ and $(x_0, x_0^*) \in X \times X^*$, $h_{(x_0, x_0^*)} \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{X \times X^*}$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{(x_0, x_0^*)}(x, x^*) &:= h(x + x_0, x^* + x_0^*) - [\langle x, x_0^* \rangle + \langle x_0, x^* \rangle + \langle x_0, x_0^* \rangle] \\ &= h(x + x_0, x^* + x_0^*) - \langle x + x_0, x^* + x_0^* \rangle + \langle x, x^* \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The operation $h \mapsto h_{(x_0, x_0^*)}$ preserves many properties of h , as convexity and lower semicontinuity. Moreover, one can easily prove the following Proposition:

Proposition 2.3. *Let $h \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{X \times X^*}$. Then it holds that*

1. $h \geq \pi \iff h_{(x_0, x_0^*)} \geq \pi, \forall (x_0, x_0^*) \in X \times X^*;$
2. $\mathcal{J}h_{(x_0, x_0^*)} = (\mathcal{J}h)_{(x_0, x_0^*)}, \quad \forall (x_0, x_0^*) \in X \times X^*.$

3 Main results

In the next theorem we generalize Theorem 1.2 to non-reflexive Banach spaces using condition (5) instead of the condition (6) used in Theorem 1.3. For obtaining this generalization, we added a regularity assumption (14) on the domain of h .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $h \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{X \times X^*}$ be proper, convex and*

$$h(x, x^*) \geq \langle x, x^* \rangle, \quad h^*(x^*, x) \geq \langle x, x^* \rangle, \quad \forall (x, x^*) \in X \times X^*. \quad (13)$$

If

$$\bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \operatorname{Pr}_X D(h), \quad (14)$$

is a closed subspace of X , then

$$T := \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid h^*(x^*, x) = \langle x, x^* \rangle\}$$

is maximal monotone and $\mathcal{J}h \in \mathcal{F}_T$.

Proof. First, define $\bar{h} := \operatorname{cl} h$ and note that $\bar{h}$ is proper, convex, l.s.c., satisfies (13), (14) and $\mathcal{J}\bar{h} = \mathcal{J}h$. So, it suffices to prove the theorem for the case where h is l.s.c., and we assume it from now on in this proof. Monotonicity of T follows from Theorem 5 of [7]. Note that for any $x \in X$

$$T(x) = \{x^* \in X^* \mid h^*(x^*, x) - \langle x, x^* \rangle \leq 0\}.$$

Therefore, $T(x)$ is convex and ω^* -closed.

To prove maximality of T , take $(x_0, x_0^*) \in X \times X^*$ such that

$$\langle x - x_0, x^* - x_0^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (x, x^*) \in T \quad (15)$$

and suppose $x_0^* \notin T(x_0)$. As $T(x_0)$ is convex and ω^* -closed, using the geometric version of the Hahn-Banach theorem in X^* endowed with the ω^* topology we conclude that there exists $z_0 \in X$ such that

$$\langle z_0, x_0^* \rangle < \langle z_0, x^* \rangle, \quad \forall x^* \in T(x_0). \quad (16)$$

Let $Y := \operatorname{span}\{x_0, z_0\}$. Define $A \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$, $Ay := y$, $\forall y \in Y$ and the convex function $f \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{Y \times Y^*}$,

$$f(y, y^*) := \inf_{x^* \in X^*} h(Ay, x^*) + \delta_{\{0\}}(y^* - A^*x^*). \quad (17)$$

Using Proposition 2.2 we obtain

$$f^*(y^*, y) = \min_{x^* \in X^*} h^*(x^*, Ay) + \delta_{\{0\}}(y^* - A^*x^*). \quad (18)$$

Using (13), (17) and (18) it is easy to see that

$$f(y, y^*) \geq \langle y, y^* \rangle, \quad f^*(y^*, y) \geq \langle y, y^* \rangle, \quad \forall (y, y^*) \in Y \times Y^*. \quad (19)$$

Define $g := \mathcal{J}f$. As Y is reflexive we have $\mathcal{J}g = \text{cl } f$. Therefore, using (19) we also have

$$g(y, y^*) \geq \langle y, y^* \rangle, \quad g^*(y^*, y) \geq \langle y, y^* \rangle, \quad \forall (y, y^*) \in Y \times Y^*. \quad (20)$$

Now, using (20) and item 1 of Proposition 2.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_{(x_0, A^*x_0^*)}(y, y^*) + \frac{1}{2}\|y\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|y^*\|^2 &\geq \langle y, y^* \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\|y\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|y^*\|^2 \\ &\geq 0, \quad \forall (y, y^*) \in Y \times Y^* \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{J}g)_{(x_0, A^*x_0^*)}(y, y^*) + \frac{1}{2}\|y\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|y^*\|^2 &\geq \langle y, y^* \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\|y\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|y^*\|^2 \\ &\geq 0, \quad \forall (y, y^*) \in Y \times Y^*. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Using Theorem 2.1 and item 2 of Proposition 2.3 we conclude that there exists $(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}^*) \in Y \times Y^*$ such that

$$\inf g_{(x_0, A^*x_0^*)}(y, y^*) + \frac{1}{2}\|y\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|y^*\|^2 + (\mathcal{J}g)_{(x_0, A^*x_0^*)}(\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}^*) + \frac{1}{2}\|\tilde{z}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\tilde{z}^*\|^2 = 0. \quad (23)$$

From (21), (22) and (23) we have

$$\inf_{(y, y^*) \in Y \times Y^*} g_{(x_0, A^*x_0^*)}(y, y^*) + \frac{1}{2}\|y\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|y^*\|^2 = 0. \quad (24)$$

As Y is reflexive, from (12), (24) we conclude that there exists $(\hat{y}, \hat{y}^*) \in Y \times Y^*$ such that

$$g(\hat{y} + x_0, \hat{y}^* + A^*x_0^*) - \langle \hat{y} + x_0, \hat{y}^* + A^*x_0^* \rangle + \langle \hat{y}, \hat{y}^* \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\|\hat{y}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\hat{y}^*\|^2 = 0. \quad (25)$$

Using (25) and the first inequality of (20) (and the definition of g) we have

$$f^*(\hat{y}^* + A^*x_0^*, \hat{y} + x_0) = \langle \hat{y} + x_0, \hat{y}^* + A^*x_0^* \rangle \quad (26)$$

and

$$\langle \hat{y}, \hat{y}^* \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{y}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{y}^*\|^2 = 0. \quad (27)$$

Using (18) we have that there exists $w_0^* \in X^*$ such that

$$f^*(\hat{y}^* + A^* x_0^*, \hat{y} + x_0) = h^*(w_0^*, A(\hat{y} + x_0)), \quad \hat{y}^* + A^* x_0^* = A^* w_0^*. \quad (28)$$

So, combining (26) and (28) we have

$$h^*(w_0^*, A(\hat{y} + x_0)) = \langle \hat{y} + x_0, A^* w_0^* \rangle = \langle A(\hat{y} + x_0), w_0^* \rangle.$$

In particular, $w_0^* \in T(A(\hat{y} + x_0))$. As $x_0 \in Y$, we can use (15) and the second equality of (28) to conclude that

$$\langle A(\hat{y} + x_0) - x_0, w_0^* - x_0^* \rangle = \langle \hat{y}, A^*(w_0^* - x_0^*) \rangle = \langle \hat{y}, \hat{y}^* \rangle \geq 0. \quad (29)$$

Using (27) and (29) we conclude that $\hat{y} = 0$ and $\hat{y}^* = 0$. Therefore,

$$w_0^* \in T(x_0), \quad A^* x_0^* = A^* w_0^*.$$

As $z_0 \in Y$, we have $z_0 = A z_0$ and so

$$\langle z_0, x_0^* \rangle = \langle A z_0, x_0^* \rangle = \langle z_0, A^* x_0^* \rangle = \langle z_0, A^* w_0^* \rangle = \langle A z_0, w_0^* \rangle = \langle z_0, w_0^* \rangle,$$

that is,

$$\langle z_0, x_0^* \rangle = \langle z_0, w_0^* \rangle, \quad w_0^* \in T(x_0)$$

which contradicts (16). Therefore, $(x_0, x_0^*) \in T$ and so T is maximal monotone and $\mathcal{J}h \in \mathcal{F}_T$. $\square$

Observe that if h is convex, proper and l.s.c. in the strong $\times$ weak-star topology, then $\mathcal{J}^2 h = h$. Therefore, using this observation we have the following corollary of Theorem 3.1:

Corollary 3.2. *Let $h \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{X \times X^*}$ be proper, convex, l.s.c. in the strong $\times$ weak-star topology and*

$$h(x, x^*) \geq \langle x, x^* \rangle, \quad h^*(x^*, x) \geq \langle x, x^* \rangle, \quad \forall (x, x^*) \in X \times X^*.$$

If

$$\bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \operatorname{Pr}_X D(h),$$

is a closed subspace of X , then

$$T := \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid h(x, x^*) = \langle x, x^* \rangle\}$$

is maximal monotone and $h, \mathcal{J}h \in \mathcal{F}_T$.

Proof. Using Theorem 3.1 we conclude that the set

$$S := \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid h^*(x^*, x) = \langle x, x^* \rangle\}$$

is maximal monotone. Take $(x, x^*) \in S$. As π is Gateaux differentiable, bounds below h and coincides with h at (x, x^*) , we have (see Lemma 4.1 of [5])

$$D\pi(x, x^*) \in \partial \mathcal{J}h(x, x^*),$$

where $D\pi$ stands for the Gateaux derivative of π . As $D\pi(x, x^*) = (x^*, x)$, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{J}h(x, x^*) + \mathcal{J}^2h(x, x^*) = \langle (x, x^*), (x^*, x) \rangle.$$

Substituting $\mathcal{J}h(x, x^*)$ by $\langle x, x^* \rangle$ in the above equation we conclude that $\mathcal{J}^2h(x, x^*) = \langle x, x^* \rangle$. Therefore, as $\mathcal{J}^2h(x, x^*) = h(x, x^*)$,

$$S \subset T.$$

To end the proof use the maximal monotonicity of S (Theorem 3.1) and the monotonicity of T (see Theorem 5 of [7]) to conclude that $S = T$. $\square$

It is natural to ask whether we can drop lower-semicontinuity assumptions. In the context of non-reflexive Banach spaces, we should use the l.s.c closure in the strong $\times$ weak-star topology. Unfortunately, as the duality product is not continuous in this topology, it is not clear whether the below implication holds:

$$h \geq \pi \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} \text{cl}_{s \times \omega^*} h \geq \pi.$$

Corollary 3.3. *Let $h \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{X \times X^*}$ be proper, convex and*

$$h(x, x^*) \geq \langle x, x^* \rangle, \quad h^*(x^*, x) \geq \langle x, x^* \rangle, \quad \forall (x, x^*) \in X \times X^*.$$

If

$$\bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \text{Pr}_X D(h)$$

is a closed subspace of X , then

$$\text{cl}_{s \times \omega^*} h \in \mathcal{F}_T,$$

where $\text{cl}_{s \times \omega^}$ denotes the l.s.c. closure in the strong $\times$ weak-star topology and T is the maximal monotone operator defined as in Theorem 3.1:*

$$T := \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid h^*(x^*, x) = \langle x, x^* \rangle\}.$$

In particular, $\text{cl}_{s \times \omega^} h \geq \pi$.*

Proof. First use Theorem 3.1 to conclude that T is maximal monotone and $\mathcal{J}h \in \mathcal{F}_T$. In particular,

$$\mathcal{S}_T \geq \mathcal{J}h \geq \varphi_T.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{J}\varphi_T \geq \mathcal{J}^2h \geq \mathcal{J}\mathcal{S}_T.$$

As $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{S}_T = \varphi_T \in \mathcal{F}_T$ and $\mathcal{J}\varphi_T \in \mathcal{F}_T$, we conclude that $\text{cl}_{s \times \omega^*} h = \mathcal{J}^2h \in \mathcal{F}_T$. $\square$

In the next corollary we give a partial answer for an open question proposed by Martínez-Legaz and Svaiter in [8], in the context of non-reflexive Banach spaces.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the family of convex functions on $X \times X^*$ bounded below by the duality product, as defined in (7). If g is a minimal element of $\mathcal{H}$ and*

$$\bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \text{Pr}_X D(g)$$

is a closed subspace of X , then there exists a maximal monotone operator T such that $g = \varphi_T$, where φ_T is the Fitzpatrick function of T .

Proof. Using item 3 of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 3.1 we have that

$$T := \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid g^*(x^*, x) = \langle x, x^* \rangle\}$$

is maximal monotone, $\mathcal{J}g \in \mathcal{F}_T$ and

$$T \subset \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid g(x, x^*) = \langle x, x^* \rangle\}.$$

As g is convex and bounded below by the duality product, using Theorem 5 of [7], we conclude that the rightmost set on the above inclusion is monotone. Since T is maximal monotone, the above inclusion holds as an equality and, being l.s.c., $g \in \mathcal{F}_T$. To end the proof, note that $g \geq \varphi_T \in \mathcal{H}$. $\square$

A Proof of Proposition 2.2

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Using the Fenchel-Young inequality we have, for any $(y, y^*), (z, z^*) \in Y \times Y^*$ and $x^*, u^* \in X^*$,

$$h(Ay, x^*) + \delta_{\{0\}}(y^* - A^*x^*) + h^*(u^*, Az) + \delta_{\{0\}}(z^* - A^*u^*) \geq \langle Ay, u^* \rangle + \langle Az, x^* \rangle.$$

Taking the infimum over $x^*, u^* \in X^*$ on the above inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(y, y^*) + \inf_{u^* \in X^*} h^*(u^*, Az) + \delta_{\{0\}}(z^* - A^*u^*) &\geq \langle y, z^* \rangle + \langle z, y^* \rangle \\ &= \langle (z^*, z), (y, y^*) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\langle (z^*, z), (y, y^*) \rangle - f(y, y^*) \leq \inf_{u^* \in X^*} h^*(u^*, Az) + \delta_{\{0\}}(z^* - A^*u^*).$$

Now, taking the supremum over $(y, y^*) \in Y \times Y^*$ on the left hand side of the above inequality we obtain

$$f^*(z^*, z) \leq \inf_{u^* \in X^*} h^*(u^*, Az) + \delta_{\{0\}}(z^* - A^*u^*). \quad (30)$$

For a fixed $(z, z^*) \in Y \times Y^*$ such that $f^*(z^*, z) < \infty$, define $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}^{Y \times X \times Y^* \times X^*}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(y, x, y^*, x^*) &:= f^*(z^*, z) - \langle y, z^* \rangle - \langle z, y^* + A^*x^* \rangle + \delta_{\{0\}}(y^*) + h(x, x^*), \\ \psi(y, x, y^*, x^*) &:= \delta_{\{0\}}(x - Ay). \end{aligned}$$

Direct calculations yields

$$\bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda[D(\varphi) - D(\psi)] = Y \times \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda[\text{Pr}_X D(h)] - R(A) \times Y^* \times X^*. \quad (31)$$

Using (11), (31) and Theorem 2.1 for φ and ψ , we conclude that there exists $(y^*, x^*, y^{**}, x^{**}) \in Y^* \times X^* \times Y^{**} \times X^{**}$ such that

$$\inf \varphi + \psi = -\varphi^*(y^*, x^*, y^{**}, x^{**}) - \psi^*(-y^*, -x^*, -y^{**}, -x^{**}). \quad (32)$$

Now, notice that

$$(\varphi + \psi)(y, x, y^*, x^*) \geq f^*(z^*, z) + f(y, A^*x^*) - \langle (z^*, z), (y, A^*x^*) \rangle \geq 0. \quad (33)$$

Using (32) and (33) we get

$$\varphi^*(y^*, x^*, y^{**}, x^{**}) + \psi^*(-y^*, -x^*, -y^{**}, -x^{**}) \leq 0. \quad (34)$$

Direct calculations yields

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^*(-y^*, -x^*, -y^{**}, -x^{**}) &= \sup_{(y, z^*, w^*)} \langle y, -y^* - A^*x^* \rangle + \langle z^*, -y^{**} \rangle + \langle w^*, -x^{**} \rangle \\ &= \delta_{\{0\}}(y^* + A^*x^*) + \delta_{\{0\}}(y^{**}) + \delta_{\{0\}}(x^{**}). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Now, using (34) and (35) we conclude that

$$y^{**} = 0, x^{**} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y^* = -A^*x^*.$$

Therefore, from (34) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^*(-A^*x^*, x^*, 0, 0) &= \sup_{(y, x, w^*)} \left(\langle y, z^* - A^*x^* \rangle + \langle x, x^* \rangle + \langle Az, w^* \rangle - h(x, w^*) \right) - f^*(z^*, z) \\ &= h^*(x^*, Az) + \delta_{\{0\}}(z^* - A^*x^*) - f^*(z^*, z) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

that is, there exists $x^* \in X^*$ such that

$$f^*(z^*, z) \geq h^*(x^*, Az) + \delta_{\{0\}}(z^* - A^*x^*).$$

Finally, using (30) we conclude the proof. $\square$

References

- [1] H. Attouch and H. Brezis. Duality for the sum of convex functions in general Banach spaces. In *Aspects of mathematics and its applications*, volume 34 of *North-Holland Math. Library*, pages 125–133. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.
- [2] R. S. Burachik and B. F. Svaiter. Maximal monotone operators, convex functions and a special family of enlargements. *Set-Valued Anal.*, 10(4):297–316, 2002.
- [3] R. S. Burachik and B. F. Svaiter. Maximal monotonicity, conjugation and the duality product. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131(8):2379–2383 (electronic), 2003.
- [4] S. Fitzpatrick. Representing monotone operators by convex functions. In *Workshop/Miniconference on Functional Analysis and Optimization (Canberra, 1988)*, volume 20 of *Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ.*, pages 59–65. Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 1988.
- [5] M. Marques Alves and B.F. Svaiter. Brøndsted-Rockafellar property and maximality of monotone operators representable by convex functions in non-reflexive Banach spaces. *J. Convex Anal.*, (15), 2008.
- [6] M. Marques Alves and B.F. Svaiter. A new old class of maximal monotone operators. <http://arxiv.org/abs/0805.4597>, 2008.

- [7] J.-E. Martínez-Legaz and B. F. Svaiter. Monotone operators representable by l.s.c. convex functions. *Set-Valued Anal.*, 13(1):21–46, 2005.
- [8] J.-E. Martínez-Legaz and B. F. Svaiter. Minimal convex functions bounded below by the duality product. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 136(3):873–878 (electronic), 2008.
- [9] J.-E. Martínez-Legaz and M. Théra. A convex representation of maximal monotone operators. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, 2(2):243–247, 2001. Special issue for Professor Ky Fan.
- [10] S. Simons. Quadrivariate versions of the Attouch-Brezis theorem and strong representability. <http://arxiv.org/abs/0809.0325>, 2008.